

# STUDY ON HEAVY METAL STATUS IN SOILS UNDER THE IMPACT ZONE OF RAICHUR THERMAL POWER STATION (RTPS)

ANURADHA DESAI\* AND K. NARAYANA RAO

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry,  
University of Agricultural Science, Raichur - 584 104, Karnataka, INDIA  
e-mail: anuradhadesai.3927@gmail.com

## INTRODUCTION

Fly ash is an amorphous mixture of ferro-alumino-silicate minerals generated from the combustion of ground or powdered coal at 400-1500°C and belongs to the coal combustion by-products in power plants produced from bituminous, subbituminous, and lignite combustion. Flyash production depends on the quality of the coal, which contains a relatively high proportion of ash that leads to 10-30% fly ash formation (Jabeen *et al.*, 2010). Thermal power generation contributes to more than 70% of the power generation in the country (Mishra., 2004). Indian coal is of bituminous type, with 55-60% ash (Mishra., 2004). Combustion of coal thus generates huge amount of ash which are disposed-off either in dry or slurry form. Fly ash generated from coal combustion has a greater tendency to absorb trace elements that are transferred from coal to waste products during combustion due to its small size and hence, large surface area (Gulec *et al.*, 2001). Fly ash can promote soil microbial activity and mixing with an organic substrate enhances its benefits, which assumes importance owing to eco-friendly disposal of fly ash. The application of wastes to soil as a recycling option can only be sustained if there are demonstrable 'ecological benefits' which is usually justified in terms of elevated organic carbon and its effect on soil conditions and stimulation of microbial activity and nutrient supply and this is sustainable only if threshold levels of pollutants does not exceed (Jabeen and Sinha, 2012). Trace elements from coal-burning power plants are released to the environment via atmospheric emissions of volatile phases and also through the leaching of solid combustion by-products, during their disposal or after deposition on the soil of the surrounding area. The emission levels of trace elements depend on the original concentration of each element in the coal, on the specific chemistry of the coal ash, relative fractions of removed ash and combustion conditions. Khan and Wajidkhan (1996) evaluated the higher level of Mn, Cu, Zn, B in field soil exposed to the fly-ash emission from thermal power plant.

The Raichur thermal power station, situated in Raichur District, Karnataka, India. It uses nearly 80 lakh tonnes of coal every year for power generation and produces about 15 lakh tonnes of fly ash per year. The drifting of fly ash and suspended particulates matter in and around the thermal plant may cause environmental pollution and contribute to the heavy metal concentration in surface soil, ground water sources etc. However, this paper evaluates the heavy metal concentration in soils around the impact zone of RTPS.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study (2013-14) was conducted in areas affected mainly by the Shaktinagar power plant emissions. The study area lies between the latitude 16° 21' 18" N and longitude 77° 20' 30.84" E in the Raichur district of Karnataka, India. The elevation ranges from 450 m above mean sea level.

## ABSTRACT

The soil samples were collected within the impact zone of RTPS at two depths (0-15 and 15-30 cm) consisting of red and black soils. On the other hand soils were compared with cultivated soils of college of Agriculture, UAS Raichur. The results revealed that the heavy metal concentration of soils within the impact zone of RTPS were comparatively higher over the control soils irrespective to the type of soils and direction. Among the soil types within the impact zone, black soils had higher content of Cd (57.1 and 53.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), Mn (30.1 and 26.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), Ni, Pb, Si and Zn than the red soils and the red soils were higher in Cu (7.32 and 7.04 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Fe (142 and 134 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) than the black soils. The comparison of heavy metal contents in soils with reference to distance and direction from the RTPS indicated no clear trend in the distribution of heavy metals in the soils studied. The marginal higher concentration of heavy metals observed in the soils under the impact zone might also be due to pollutants that are released from the other industries which are located near to the RTPS.

## KEY WORDS

Black soil  
Coal  
Fly ash  
Heavy metals

Received : 24.06.2015

Revised : 07.01.2016

Accepted : 11.02.2016

\*Corresponding author

### Study sites

Ten study sites were selected within the impact zone of RTPS (10 kms) in all the directions (Table 1). The 100 soil samples were collected at two depths 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm with different distances and directions within the impact zone of RTPS. Two representative soil samples comprising black and red soil collected from agricultural farm, College of Agriculture, UAS, Raichur were used for comparative study which is 22 kms apart from RTPS towards Northwest direction.

### Soil processing

The collected soil samples were air dried, processed using wooden pestle and mortar, passed through 2 mm sieve. The two mm sieved samples were preserved in polythene bags for further analysis. For organic carbon analysis, the 2 mm sieved samples were subjected for further grinding and passed through 0.2 mm sieve.

### Chemical analysis

The chemical composition of soil and fly ash was analysed by following methods. The pH was measured in 1:2.5 soil-water suspension using pH meter (Jackson, 1973). The clear supernatant solution of the above suspension was used for EC measurement using Conductivity Bridge (Jackson, 1973). Easily oxidizable organic carbon of soil samples was determined by Walkley and Black's wet oxidation method (Walkley-Black, 1934).  $\text{CaCO}_3$  was estimated by rapid titration method (Piper, 1966). The CEC of soil was determined by sodium saturation method using flame photometer (Black, 1965).

### Heavy metal analysis

The heavy metal concentration in soil and fly ash was determined by using aquaregia digestion method (Forster, 1995). The extract was prepared by digesting the soil samples using aquaregia (3:1 HCL:HNO<sub>3</sub>) solution. The concentration of heavy metals was analysed by using the instrument (ICP-OES). The chemical composition of fly ash was pH-8.36, EC-1.9 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, OM-Nil, CEC-7.49 cmol (p<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup> and  $\text{CaCO}_3$ -6.27 % and composition of heavy metal concentration was Cd-1.89 ppm, Cu-100 ppm, Fe-50.5 ppm, Mn-198 ppm, Zn-170 ppm, Pb-11.8 ppm, Ni-18.1 ppm and Si- 55.6 %.

Pearson correlation coefficients and curve estimation procedures were used to determine relationships between different heavy metals and soils properties by standard

procedure of SPSS statistical software (Snedecor and Cochran, 1968).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The drifting of fly ash in around the impact zone of RTPS has influenced on the heavy metal concentration of surface and subsurface soil. The chemical composition and heavy metal concentration in and around the RTPS (Table 1, 2, 3 & 4) were found to be higher when compared with the control soils.

The pH value of surface and subsurface soil ranged from 6.96 to 8.08 and 7.10 to 7.26 respectively. The highest pH of surface and subsurface soil in Kukanoor and lowest was recorded in Korvihall for surface and Gudeballuru for subsurface soil. Black soil recorded high pH compared to red soil due to high calcium carbonate content and exchangeable basic cations on exchange complex. The results revealed that fly ash falling from the chimneys through the power plant doesn't have impact on pH of the soil, when compared to the control. The result are in accordance with the findings of Singh *et al.* (1995) reported that soil pH was mostly alkaline at polluted sites.

The EC value of surface and subsurface soil ranged from 0.15 to 0.49 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and 0.22 to 0.68 dS m<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Whereas, the highest EC of surface and subsurface soil recorded in Kudluru and Kukanoor respectively and in surface and subsurface soil lowest was recorded in Jagarkall and Rangapura respectively. Chemical analysis of all the soil samples revealed that they are non saline (< 1 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) in nature. Red soil recorded less EC when compared to black soil. It was also found that the EC increased with depth. It might be due to leaching of salts and its accumulation in lower layers. The results are in contradictory to the finding of Singh *et al.* (1995), trend of decreasing EC across the distance around Shaktinagar and Renusagar thermal power plant of Uttar Pradesh, India.

The organic matter content of the surface and subsurface soil varied from 7.20 to 11.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 6.27 to 9.54 g kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The village Kudluru recorded highest organic matter content for surface and subsurface soil and lowest was Korvihall for surface and Kadluru for subsurface soil. Compared to red soil, organic matter content in black soil was high due to less oxidation of organic matter, on other hand highly oxidised state of red soil readily oxidise OC from soil to give

**Table 1: Average values of physico-chemical parameters of surface (0-15 cm) soil samples collected from different locations around the RTPS**

Location	Aerial distance from RTPS (km)	Direction from RTPS	No. of Samples	Soil type	pH	EC(dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	OM (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	CEC(cmol (p <sup>+</sup> ) kg <sup>-1</sup> )	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)
Ganjalli	6	East	5	Red soil	7.09	0.18	9.76	19.6	2.74
Gudeballuru	6	North	5	Red soil	7.02	0.16	8.58	20.6	2.14
Hindhupur	6	North west	5	Black soil	7.42	0.39	9.54	48.2	6.66
Jagarkall	8	South west	5	Black soil	7.92	0.15	7.82	47.9	7.28
Rangapura	8	North west	5	Black soil	7.83	0.20	8.65	55.7	7.28
Korvihall	9	North east	5	Red soil	6.96	0.16	7.20	22.1	3.88
Kadluru	10	West	5	Red soil	7.04	0.17	9.13	19.3	4.68
Kudluru	10	South east	5	Black soil	7.94	0.49	11.2	49.4	7.02
Kukanoor	10	South	5	Black soil	8.08	0.38	9.54	46.9	10.3
Marched	10	South west	5	Black soil	7.74	0.19	10.7	48.2	3.78
Control 1	22	North	1	Black soil	7.40	0.24	16.5	52.0	3.01
Control 2	22	North	1	Red soil	6.90	0.14	14.6	19.0	2.10

**Table 2: Average values of physico-chemical parameters of subsurface (15-30 cm) soil samples collected from different locations around the RTPS**

Location	Aerial distance from RTPS (km)	Direction from RTPS	No. of Samples	Soil type	pH	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	OM (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	CEC(cmol (p <sup>+</sup> ) kg <sup>-1</sup> )	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)
Ganjalli	6	East	5	Red soil	7.15	0.25	7.67	25.5	3.14
Gudeballuru	6	North	5	Red soil	7.10	0.24	6.66	24.6	2.64
Hindhupur	6	North west	5	Black soil	7.54	0.46	7.96	50.6	10.4
Jagarkall	8	South west	5	Black soil	7.98	0.25	6.45	54.2	9.82
Rangapura	8	North west	5	Black soil	8.09	0.22	7.27	62.0	9.48
Korvihall	9	North east	5	Red soil	7.12	0.23	6.65	24.9	4.64
Kadluru	10	West	5	Red soil	7.26	0.24	6.27	25.0	5.56
Kudluru	10	South east	5	Black soil	8.17	0.59	9.54	53.5	7.58
Kukanoor	10	South	5	Black soil	8.22	0.68	7.55	52.3	11.5
Marched	10	South west	5	Black soil	7.92	0.39	9.13	53.2	5.18
Control 1	22	North	1	Black soil	7.50	0.30	15.0	60.5	6.50
Control 2	22	North	1	Red soil	7.10	0.20	11.8	22.7	2.50

**Table 3: Average heavy metals status (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of surface (0-15 cm) soil samples collected from different locations around the RTPS**

Location	Aerial distance from RTPS (km)	Direction from RTPS	No. of Samples	Soil type	Cd	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Si	Zn
Ganjalli	6	East	5	Red soil	54.2	4.91	126	21.8	176	69.2	40.3	52.9
Gudeballuru	6	North	5	Red soil	31.7	2.98	91.1	17.9	102	68.9	376	40.2
Hindhupur	6	North west	5	Black soil	43.2	3.30	29.7	18.3	150	67.5	87.2	49.3
Jagarkall	8	South west	5	Black soil	38.7	2.88	30.3	19.4	106	67.8	133	55.3
Rangapura	8	North west	5	Black soil	39.9	3.98	28.0	18.9	109	71.2	193	63.4
Korvihall	9	North east	5	Red soil	29.9	7.32	133	10.5	101	69.1	41.6	38.3
Kadluru	10	West	5	Red soil	50.8	4.91	142	19.1	161	69.6	185	49.4
Kudluru	10	South east	5	Black soil	50.2	4.30	27.1	30.1	157	70.3	338	53.7
Kukanoor	10	South	5	Black soil	57.1	2.91	26.4	21.0	303	75.3	326	62.8
Marched	10	South west	5	Black soil	46.6	2.71	24.5	17.8	130	69.5	17.4	51.4
Control 1	22	North	1	Black soil	35.4	2.38	23.8	17.3	199	69.0	338	49.4
Control 2	22	North	1	Red soil	22.8	4.74	119	6.20	89.3	68.7	38.3	33.3

rise to CO<sub>2</sub> which eventually escaped into the atmosphere. This could be linked to the oxidation of OC present in coal as oxides of carbon during the combustion of coal (Bern, 1976). The CEC of surface and subsurface soil ranged from 19.3 to 55.7 cmol (p<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup> and 24.6 to 62.0 cmol (p<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The village Rangapura recorded highest CEC and lowest was Kadluru. In subsurface soil the highest CEC was recorded in Rangapura and lowest was Gudeballuru. Red soil recorded low CEC compared to black soil. It might be due to low clay content. Compared to control plot the soil around the impact zone does not have any influence of fly ash on the CEC of soil with distance and direction. The results are in disagreement with the finding of Singh *et al.* (1995), trend of decreasing cations content across the distance around Shaktinagar and Renuagar thermal power plant of Uttar Pradesh, India.

The data pertaining to calcium carbonate in surface and subsurface soil ranged from 2.14 to 10.3 per cent and 2.64 to 11.5 per cent respectively. The soils were slightly calcareous in nature. The highest and lowest CaCO<sub>3</sub> content was recorded in Kukanoor and Gudeballuru for both surface and subsurface soil. In black soil, CaCO<sub>3</sub> content increased with depth. It may be due to the basic parent material of lime stone existing in the area. The results showed that there was no impact of fly ash on CaCO<sub>3</sub> content in and around soils of impact zone of RTPS. These results are similar with the findings of Singh *et al.* (1995) there was no effect of fly ash on calcium carbonate

content in soil.

The cadmium content of surface and subsurface soil in impact zone of RTPS were ranged from 29.9 to 57.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> to 26.6 to 53.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Among the soils in the impact zone of RTPS the highest cadmium content in surface and subsurface soil was found in Kukanoor (Black soil) and lowest was observed in Korvihall (Red soil). When compared with the control, it might be due to dispersion of cadmium ions with the fallout of fly ash from the thermal power plant. The emission of Cd extends its residual time in the atmosphere thus facilitates long range transport of element from the point of release. The results were in accordance with Keegan *et al.* (2006) the higher concentrations of heavy metals in the soils around the coal fired power plant in Slovakia.

The copper content in soils was widely distributed with the distance and direction. The copper content in surface and subsurface soil were varied from 2.71 to 7.32 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 2.04 to 7.04 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Whereas, the highest copper content in surface and subsurface soil was found in Korvihall (Red soil) and lowest was found in surface soil of Marched (Black soil) and subsurface in Hindupur (Black soil). It might be due to the presence of high Cu oxides in both red and black soils, which are toxic in nature and the results were in accordance with those found in soils around coal fired power plant in Slovakia (Keegan *et al.*, 2006).

The iron (Fe) content in surface and subsurface soil were ranged

**Table 4: Average heavy metals status (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of subsurface (15-30 cm) soil samples collected from different locations around the RTPS.**

Location	Aerial distance from RTPS (km)	Direction from RTPS	No. of Samples	Soil type	Cd	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Si	Zn
Ganjalli	6	East	5	Red soil	53.2	2.75	119	21.1	174	53.7	28.2	50.3
Gudeballuru	6	North	5	Red soil	29.3	2.60	77.4	10.5	85.9	68.2	139	30.2
Hindhupur	6	North west	5	Black soil	41.2	2.04	26.1	11.9	140	48.5	85.2	44.7
Jagarkall	8	South west	5	Black soil	37.9	2.41	23.0	9.74	101	42.0	58.4	53.5
Rangapura	8	North west	5	Black soil	37.2	2.41	22.7	15.3	94.7	32.8	182	57.2
Korvihall	9	North east	5	Red soil	26.6	7.04	134	7.96	97.7	27.3	28.4	30.5
Kadluru	10	West	5	Red soil	50.4	3.03	134	18.0	150	48.9	143	43.1
Kudluru	10	South east	5	Black soil	48.7	4.21	26.0	26.2	152	48.0	325	51.4
Kukanoor	10	South	5	Black soil	53.6	2.64	24.1	17.8	179	35.4	319	61.5
Marched	10	South west	5	Black soil	45.0	2.37	24.3	11.6	122	43.9	8.46	50.0
Control 1	22	North	1	Black soil	32.7	2.03	21.6	8.25	104	21.7	305	49.0
Control 2	22	North	1	Red soil	16.2	2.63	98.0	3.10	82.9	19.16	11.7	29.2

**Table 5: Correlation analysis of soil parameters (Pooled) in surface soil (0-15 cm) samples with the heavy metals**

	Cd	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Si	Zn
Clay	.022	-.200	-.604**	.134	.037	-.010	.058	.317*
pH	.234	-.213	-.630**	.237*	.321*	.120	.074	.574**
EC	.250*	-.002	-.268*	.313*	.212	-.138	.212	.185
OM	.508**	-.137	-.253*	.140	.228	.040	.136	.083
CEC	.146	-.146	-.842**	.130	.103	.108	.104	.482**
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	.249*	-.077	-.478**	.052	.399**	.298*	.249*	.366**

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level. \* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

from 24.5 to 142 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 22.7 to 134 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The Fe content in surface soil were found to higher in Kadluru and lowest was observed in Marched. In subsurface soil highest was observed in Korvihall and lowest in Rangapur. The Fe concentrations in soils around the RTPS were widely distributed with the distance and direction of RTPS and found to be higher compared with the control. It might be due to the presence of high Fe oxides released during the weathering of minerals under reduced conditions in the both soils.

The manganese (Mn) content in surface and subsurface soil were ranged from 10.5 to 30.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 7.96 to 26.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The Mn content in surface and subsurface soil within the impact of Zone of RTPS were found to higher in Kudluru and lowest was observed in Korvihall. The Mn concentrations in soils around the RTPS were widely distributed with the distance and direction of RTPS and found to be higher compared with the control. It might be due to the presence of high Mn oxides released during the weathering of minerals under reduced conditions in the both soils.

The black soils of Kukanoor recorded highest Ni content in surface and subsurface soil and lowest in Korvihall and Gudeballuru (Red soil) for surface and subsurface respectively. The gradual increase of trace elements concentration with an increase in distance was observed in the nickel compared to control. This might be attributed to the drifting of fly ash with wind direction. The gradual increase of trace element (Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn and Ni) concentrations around coal power plant in Turkey were noticed by Cicek and Koparal (2004) and Stalikas *et al.* (1997).

The lead content in surface and subsurface soil was in the range of 67.5 to 75.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 27.3 to 68.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Among the surface soil the highest lead content

was recorded in Kukanoor (Black soil) and lowest was found in Hindupur (Black soil). The highest lead content in subsurface soil was noticed in Gudeballuru (Red soil) and lowest was recorded in Korvihall (Red soil). The concentration of lead in soils were slightly higher than the control and widely distributed in the soil.

The silicon content in surface and subsurface soil samples ranged from 17.4 to 376 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 8.46 to 325 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Among the surface soil the highest was found in Gudeballuru (Red soil) and lowest was found in Marched (Black soil). The highest silicon content in subsurface soil recorded in Kudluru (Black soil) and lowest was found in Marched (Black soil). As Fly ash is rich in silicon, drifting of fly ash from the thermal power plant with wind direction had slight impact on the silica content. The results are in accordance with the results of Cicek and Koparkal (2004).

The zinc content in surface and subsurface soil ranged from 38.3 to 63.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 30.2 to 61.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Whereas, the highest zinc content in surface soil in Rangapura (Black soil) and lowest was found in Korvihall (Red soil). The highest zinc content in subsurface soil in Kukanoor (Black soil) and lowest was recorded in Gudeballuru (Red soil). Concentrations of Zn in soils around the RTPS were widely distributed with the distance and direction. It might be due to the soils are rich in oxides of Zn under reduced condition which are released during the weathering of minerals. Swaine (1984) found that concentrations of Zn deposited from an Australian power station, burning pulverized bituminous coal, decreased with distance from the power station.

The data on correlation studies showed that CEC was significantly negatively correlated with Fe ( $r = -0.842^{**}$  in surface) at 0.01 level of significance (Table 5). Study

conducted by Dragovic *et al.* (2013) also showed significant correlation in both positive and negative way in comparing with soil properties.

The concentrations of heavy metal were comparatively higher in soils within the impact zone over the control soils, irrespective of the type of soils and direction of the wind. Among the soil types within the impact zone, black soils had higher contents of Cd, Mn, Ni, Pb, Si and Zn than the red soils. On the other hand, the concentration of Cu and Fe were little higher in red soils than the black soils under study. The comparison of heavy metal content in soils of study area indicated no clear trend in the distribution of heavy metals with reference to distance and direction from the RTPS. In general, the concentration of heavy metals studied were within the permissible limit. However, the slight increase in concentration of heavy metals over the control was observed in the soils under the impact zone might also be attributed to pollutants that are released from the other industries which are located near to the RTPS. A study need to be taken up to know the impact of other industries near the RTPS for heavy metal accumulation.

## REFERENCES

- Bern, J. 1976** Residue from power generation: processing, recycling and disposal, land application of waste materials. *Soil Conserv. Soc. Am.*, Ankeny, Iowa. pp. 226-248.
- Black, C. A. 1965.** Methods of soil analysis Part II. Agronomy Monograph No. 9. *Am. Soc. Agron.*, Madison, Wisconsin, p. 148.
- Cicek, A. and Koparal, A. S. 2004.** Accumulation of sulphur and heavy metals in soil and tree leaves sampled from the surroundings of Tuncbilek thermal power plant. *Chemosphere*. **57**: 1031-1036.
- Dragovic, S., Cujic, M., Beskoski, L. S., Gajic, B., Bajat, B., Kilibarda, M. and Onjia, A. 2013.** Trace element distribution in surface soils from a coal burning power production area: A case study from the largest power plant site in Serbia. *Catena*. **104**: 288-296.
- Forster, J. C. 1995.** Heavy metals in: Alefk, Nannipieri P (eds.) Methods in applied soil microbiology and biochemistry, Academic Press, London. pp. 101-104.
- Gulec, N., Gunal (Calci), B. and Eler, A. 2001.** Assessment of soil and water contamination around an ash-disposal site: A case study from the Seyitomer coal-fired power plant in western Turkey. *Environ. Geol.* **40**: 331-344.
- Jabeen, S. and Sinha, M. P. 2012.** Impact of earthworm inoculation on physico-chemical profile of fly ash amended soil. *The Ecoscan*. **1**: 77-82.
- Jabeen, S., Kumari, S., Saha, P., Yadav, N., Kumari, S., Raipat, B. S. and Sinha, M. P. 2010.** Identification and characterization of dominant Bacteria in coal fly ash amended soil. *The Bioscan*. **1**: 105-114.
- Jackson, M. L. 1973.** *Soil Chemical Analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi.
- Keegen, T. J., Farago, M. E., Thornton, I., Hong, B., Clovile, R. N., Pesch, B., Jackubis, P. and Nieuwenhuisen, M. J. 2006.** Dispersion of As and selected heavy metals around a coal-burning power station in central Slovakia. *Sci. Total Environ.* **358**: 61-71.
- Khan, M. R. and Wajid Khan, M. 1996.** The Effect of fly ash on plant growth and yield of Tomato. *Environ. Pollu.* **92(2)**: 105-111.
- Mishra, U. 2004.** Environmental impact of coal industry and thermal power plants in India. *J. Environ. Radiact.* **72**: 35-40.
- Piper, C. S. 1966.** *Soil and Plant Analysis*, Hans Publishers, Bombay, p. 368.
- Snedecorand, G. W. and Cochran, W. G. 1968.** Statistical methods, Oxford and IBH publication Co. 17 port street, Calcutta.
- Stalikas, C. D., Chaidou, C. I. and Pilidis, G. A. 1997.** Enrichment of PAHs and heavy metals in soils in the vicinity of the lignite-fired power plants of West Macedonia (Greece). *Sci. Total Env.* **204**: 135-146.
- Swaine, D. J. 1984.** The fate of trace elements during combustion, Proc. Aust. Coal Sci. Conf., Gippsland Inst. *Advanced Educ. Churchill, Vic.* pp. 1-10.
- Walkley, A. and Black, C. A. 1934.** An examination of different methods for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.* **37**: 29-38.

## INSTRUCTION TO AUTHORS

*The Ecoscan*

An International Biannual Journal of Environmental Science

**THE JOURNAL**

**The Ecoscan** is an international quarterly journal of environmental sciences with international editorial board. The journal is online and details can be seen (downloaded from the site. [www.thebioscan.in](http://www.thebioscan.in)). For any query e-mail at [m\\_psinha@yahoo.com](mailto:m_psinha@yahoo.com) & [dr.mp.sinha@gmail.com](mailto:dr.mp.sinha@gmail.com) can be used.

**AIM & SCOPE**

The journal aims to publish original peerly reviewed/ refereed research papers/reviews on all aspects of environmental sciences.

**SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT**

Only original research papers are considered for publication. The authors may be asked to declare that the manuscript has not been submitted to any other journal for consideration at the same time. Two hard copies of manuscript and one soft copy, complete in all respects should be submitted. The soft copy can also be sent by e-mail as an attachment file for quick processing of the paper.

**FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT**

All manuscripts must be written in English and should be typed double-spaced with wide margins on all sides of good quality A4 paper.

First page of the paper should be headed with the title page, (in capital, font size 16), the names of the authors (in capitals, font size 12) and full address of the institution where the work was carried out including e-mail address. A short running title should be given at the end of the title page and 3-5 key words or phrases for indexing.

The main portion of the paper should be divided into Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion (or result and discussion together), Acknowledgements (if any) References and legends.

**Abstract** should be limited to 200 words and convey the main points of the paper-outline, results and conclusion or the significance of the results.

**Introduction** should give the reasons for doing the work. Detailed review of the literature is not necessary. The introduction should preferably conclude with a final paragraph stating concisely and clearly the aims and objectives of your investigation.

**Materials and Methods** should include a brief technical description of the methodology adopted while a detailed description is required if the methods are new.

**Results** should contain observations on experiment done illustrated by tables and figures. Use well known statistical tests in preference to obscure ones.

**Discussion** must not recapitulate results but should relate the author's experiments to other work on the subject and give their conclusions.

All tables and figures must be cited sequentially in the text. Figures should be abbreviated to Fig., except in the beginning of a sentence when the word Figure should be written out in full.

The figures should be drawn on a good quality tracing/ white paper with black ink with the legends provided on a separate sheet. Photographs should be black and white on a glossy sheet with sufficient contrast.

References should be kept to a minimum and listed in alphabetical order. Personal communication and unpublished data should not be included in the reference list. Unpublished papers accepted for publication may be included in the list by designating the journal followed by "in press" in parentheses in the reference list. The list of reference at the end of the text should be in the following format.

1. **Witkamp, M. and Olson, J. S. 1963.** Breakdown of confined and non-confined Oak Litter. *Oikos*. **14**:138-147.
2. **Odum, E.P. 1971.** *Fundamentals of Ecology*. W. B. Sauder Co. Publ. Philadelphia.p.28.
3. **Macfadyen, A.1963.** The contribution of microfauna to total soil metabolism. In:*Soil organism*, J. Doeksen and J. Van Der Drift (Eds). North Holland Publ. Comp., pp 3-16.

References in the text should be quoted by the **author's name and year** in parenthesis and presented in year order. When there are more than two authors the reference should be quoted as: first author followed by *et al.*, throughout the text. Where more than one paper with the same senior author has appeared in on year the references should

Cont. .... P. 24