



ISSN: 0974 - 0376

The Ecoscan : Special issue, Vol. IX: 825-835: 2016
AN INTERNATIONAL QUARTERLY JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES
www.theecoscan.com

TEMPORAL ZOOPLANKTON DIVERSITY IN RIVER GARGA OF BOKARO DISTRICT DURING THE YEAR 2012-2013

Rupam Mallik and Shailendra Kumar Sinha

KEYWORDS

Zooplanktons
Rotifers
Copepoda
Garga River
Pollution indicators

Proceedings of National Conference on
Harmony with Nature in Context of
Resource Conservation and Climate Change
(HARMONY - 2016)
October 22 - 24, 2016, Hazaribag,
organized by
Department of Zoology, Botany, Biotechnology & Geology
Vinoba Bhave University,
Hazaribag (Jharkhand) 825301
in association with
NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTALISTS ASSOCIATION, INDIA
www.neaindia.org



RUPAM MALLIK¹ AND SHAILENDRA KUMAR SINHA²

¹Department of Zoology, Bokaro Steel City College, Bokaro - 827 006

²Department of Zoology (PG Centre), PKRM College, Dhanbad - 826 004

e-mail: rupam.mallik@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Studies on seasonal variation of zooplankton at four sampling sites (G₁, G₂, G₃ and G₄) in Garga river were conducted during September 2012 to August 2013. A total of 29 species were found in the river. Among these 20 species belong to rotifera, 5 species belong to copepoda, 2 species belong to cladocera whereas protozoa and ostracoda are represented by single species. Numerically rotifera was the dominant class throughout the study period. A season wise analysis showed maximum density of zooplanktons in summer and minimum density in monsoon. Based on the research work of several authors, certain zooplankton species have been established as pollution indicator such as *Branchionus angularis*, *B. calyciflorus*, *Filinia longiseta*, *Keratella cochlearis*, *Asplanchna sp.*, *Ceriodaphnia sp.*, *Moina sp.*, *Diaptomus sp.*, etc. Results of present study show that the river is more polluted at G₂ and G₃ as most of the pollution indicating species are present at both these sampling points.

INTRODUCTION

Zooplanktons are minute animals that are non-motile or are very weak swimmers. They contribute significantly to biological productivity of freshwater ecosystem. They serve as good indicator of changes in water quality because it is strongly affected by the environmental conditions and it is quickly responded to changes in environmental quality (Gannon and Stemberger, 1978). Zooplanktons play a major role in the functioning and the productivity of aquatic ecosystems through its impact on the nutrient dynamics and its key position in the food web, (Keister *et al.*, 2012). Many species of zooplankton can be used as biological indicators for water pollution, water quality and eutrophication, (Bonnet and Frid, 2004). Zooplanktons are globally recognized as organisms that indicate pollution in aquatic environment (Yakubu *et al.*, 2000).

Many workers have studied the zooplanktons of fresh water bodies both in India and abroad Purushothama *et al.* (2011) studied the physico chemical profile and zooplankton community composition in Brahmana Kalasi tank, Sagara, Karnataka.

According to Aoyagui and Bonecker (2004) and Ayodele *et al.* (2006) properties of aquatic ecosystems determine the occurrence, diversity and density of both flora and fauna in any given habitat.

The condition of Garga River has become pathetic due to various anthropogenic activities. The changing aquatic environment is the cause of growing concern and requires monitoring of surface water and the organisms inhabiting them. No significant work has been done so far on Garga River hence an attempt has been made to study the qualitative and quantitative aspects of different pollution indicating species of zooplanktons. Thus the present paper deals with the presence of various pollution indicating species of zooplanktons, their diversity and seasonal variation in Garga river. The findings of the present study can be used as a reference for other researchers and statutory bodies to take remedial action to control pollution in the river Garga.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bokaro district is located in the state of Jharkhand in the Eastern part of India at 23°29¼N and 86°09¼E. It covers a geographical area of 2861 sq. km. River Garga is one of the tributaries of River Damodar, flowing along the southern and the eastern outskirts of the city. Garga is an important but highly polluted river due to discharge of industrial effluents and domestic sewage into it (Mallik *et al.*, 2014). Manjappa *et al.* (2008) reported that due to anthropogenic activities, rapid industrial growth, domestic and agricultural activities of the region, the river water is being polluted, which is the case with almost all major rivers of the country.

For the present study, four different sampling sites were chosen on Garga river.

These are:

Site 1: G₁ → near Garga dam

Site 2: G₂ → near Bari Co-operative Colony

Site 3: G₃ → Chas (Kunwar Singh Colony)

*Corresponding author

Site 4: G₄ → Sector XI bridge (near the confluence of Garga and Damodar rivers)

Among all the four sites G2 and G3 are located amongst densely populated colonies while G1 and G4 are away from human settlement.

The present study was carried out in one calendar year starting from September 2012 to August 2013. Thus the calendar year was divided into four seasons .viz-

Post Monsoon	→	Sept to Nov.
Winter	→	Dec to Feb
Summer	→	Mar to May
Monsoon	→	June to Aug.

Water samples were collected monthly from all the four sites in the above mentioned four seasons. Arnott *et al.* (1998) indicate that sampling for plankton study should be undertaken at least monthly to account for 80% of the species observed annually.

Sample was collected from the surface and sub-surface as well. One 3L and a 4L pre-washed plastic containers were used for collection of water for filtering .Water was filtered through Plankton net of standard make .Sedgwick Rafter Counting Slide was used for quantitative analysis and qualitative enumeration of zooplanktons .The Sedgwick Rafter Chamber was calibrated before use .For calibration of chamber, the volume of the chamber was determined by weighing the (dry) slide and the coverslip (in g), then filling the chamber with distilled water and weighing it again: The difference between the weights is equal to the volume of the chamber in ml, assuming 1g of distilled water weighs 1ml. Such calibration confirms the accurate volume of Sedgwick Rafter Chamber. Counting a small no. of fields in several chambers can increase accuracy of Sedgwick Rafter Chamber (Woelkerling *et al.*, 1976). Therefore each sample was analyzed by counting few fields in a counting chamber and then taking another sub-sample in another counting chamber after discarding the previous sub-sample.

The sample was left undisturbed and allowed to settle for 1-3 days. Staining is not required in this method because samples are preserved in Lugol's solution. Lugol's solution acts as a fixative-preservative-stain which enters the cell quickly and detection of zooplankton cell is easier by the enhanced contrast between organisms and the surrounding fluid (Helsinki Commission Report, 2003). Once the sample has settled, the top 150 mL of the sample was carefully removed with a syringe without penetrating the bottom 100ml. After siphoning off 150 mL sample, the remaining 100ml was mixed thoroughly to avoid clumping of cells. The first critical step in preparing a sample for microscopic analysis is homogenization of the sample. After homogenization of the concentrate, 1mL sub-sample was poured directly into Sedgwick Rafter Counting chamber using a pipette .To ensure that large taxa arte not excluded, the end of the tip was cut off to widen the opening (to ± 2-3 mm). After sedimentation the chamber column is slid aside using a cover glass .This method involves settling a known volume of samples into a counting chamber. The zooplanktons were identified by following standard literatures and keys (Needham and Needham, 1977; APHA, 1998).

The Sedgwick Rafter counting chamber filled with 1 mL of the sub-sample was examined under the microscope (Pfiester *et al.*, 1980).

The number of plankton counted was converted to give a concentration per unit volume of sample as follows:

$$N = \frac{(a \times 1000) \cdot C}{V}$$

Where,

a is the number of plankton in one field of SR slide.

C is the volume of concentrate (mL)

V is the volume of water filtered/sampled (mL).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Qualitative and quantitative analyses of zooplanktons at four stations represented by G₁, G₂, G₃ and G₄ in Garga river have been done in the four seasons viz. post-monsoon, winter, summer and monsoon in the year, 2012-2013. The results indicate that during summer season the density of zooplanktons were maximum and in monsoon the density was minimum. Bhowmic (1968) suggested that increase in zooplankton population in summer is due to higher concentration and increased photosynthetic activity .The majority of zooplankton population comprised of rotifers along the entire stretch of Garga river .A class-wise analysis of the recorded taxa showed relative abundance in the sequence, rotifers > copepoda > cladocera > protozoa > ostracoda in all the seasons. Chaurasia (1996) reported that the density of rotifers and their species diversity is highest in eutrophic condition.

G₁ POST MONSOON 2012

Qualitative and quantitative analyses of zooplanktons in the Post Monsoon of 2012 is shown in Table 1. At this site the zooplankton density ranged from 84 U/L to 258U/L being maximum in the month of November and minimum in the month of September. This may be due to settling of rainwater and return of favorable condition (J. Reeja *et al.*, 2012). Zooplankton community comprised of 16 species belonging to Rotifera (9sps), Copepoda (4 sps) whereas Cladoceran, Ostracoda and Protozoa represented by single species. The relative percentage was found to be 47.5 % rotifers, 28.4% copepod, cladoceran 10%. protozoan 9.7% and ostracoda 4.4%.

G₁ WINTER 2012-2013

Qualitative and quantitative analysis of zooplankton in winter 2012-13 is shown in Table 2. At this site Zooplankton density ranged from 159U/L to 189U/L being maximum in February and minimum in December. The population of zooplankton raises a bit higher level during winter season due to favorable environmental conditions and presence of excess of food in the form of bacteria and suspended detritus (Shivashankar and Venkataramana, 2013). The relative percentage was found to be rotifers 41.92%, copepod 36.53%, cladoceran 11.34%, protozoa 6.15% and ostracoda 4.03%.

Site 1: G₁ SUMMER 2013

The results for qualitative and quantitative analysis of

Table 1: Qualitative and quantitative analyses of zooplanktons

ZooplanktonGroup	ZooplanktonSpecies	September	October	November
Rotifera	<i>Branchionus tridentatus</i>	6	8	10
	<i>B. quadridentatus</i>	7	8	11
	<i>Filinia sp.</i>	4	5	7
	<i>Monostyla sp.</i>	8	10	11
	<i>Asplanchna sp.</i>	6	8	10
	<i>Tetramastix sp.</i>	1	2	2
	<i>Platias sp.</i>	1	3	4
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	2	2	5
	<i>Colurella sp.</i>	2	3	6
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	10	11	10
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	8	12	12
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	6	10	8
	<i>Nauplius sp.</i>	8	10	12
	<i>Cyclops sp.</i>	8	9	6
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	3	5	6
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	4	4	6
Total		84	110	126
Standard Deviation		2.56	2.90	2.95
Mean		5.25	6.87	7.87

Table 2: Qualitative and quantitative analysis of zooplanktons

ZooplanktonGroup	Zooplankton Species	December	January	February
Rotifera	<i>Branchionus tridentatus</i>	12	14	15
	<i>B. quadridentatus</i>	10	11	12
	<i>Filinia sp.</i>	6	5	8
	<i>Monostyla sp.</i>	12	11	12
	<i>Asplanchna sp.</i>	8	9	10
	<i>Tetramastix sp.</i>	5	6	6
	<i>Platias sp.</i>	4	5	6
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	2	4	4
	<i>Colurella sp.</i>	5	8	8
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	11	11	10
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia</i>	18	18	21
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	10	12	12
	<i>Nauplius sp.</i>	25	22	28
	<i>Cyclops sp.</i>	11	11	14
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	14	16	15
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	6	7	8
Total		159	172	189
Standard Deviation		5.52	5.06	5.86
Mean		9.94	10.75	11.81

All values are in units/litre

zooplankton in summer season is depicted in Table 3. The zooplankton population was found in the range of 210U/L to 258U/L, being minimum in March and maximum in May. In summer percentage of rotifers was highest at 50.56% followed by copepoda (29.74%), protozoa (8.49%), cladoceran (6.79%) and ostracoda (4.39%). Presence of rotifers is also an indication of eutrophic as observed by Saksena and Sharma (1981) in Govind Sagar, Chhatra tank, Sawarkar sarovar and Matsya sarovar in Gwalior.

Site 1: G₁ MONSOON 2013

The results for qualitative and quantitative analysis of zooplankton in monsoon season is presented in Table 4. The zooplankton density was found in the range of 91U/L to 160U/L. August month recorded lowest density while June had the highest density of zooplanktons. Normally the monsoon is associated with lower population densities due to its dilution effect and decreased photosynthetic activity by primary

producers (Joshi 2011). Percentwise distribution of zooplanktons were found to be as Rotifera-49.17%, Copepoda - 25%, Protozoa-11.26%, Cladoceran-9.61% and Ostracoda-4.94%.

Site 2: G₂ POST MONSOON 2012

Qualitative and quantitative result of zooplankton analysis is presented in table -5. The zooplankton density ranged from 179U/L to 199U/L. September month recorded the minimum density while November showed maximum density. The percent composition was as follows-Rotifera-58.8%, Copepoda -19.36%, Protozoa-9.68%, Ostracoda-6.695 and Cladoceran-5.45%.

Site 2: G₂ WINTER 2012-13

Qualitative and quantitative analysis of zooplankton is presented in Table 6. The density of zooplankton was in the range of 217U/L to 261U/L, being maximum in the month of

Table 3:Qualitative and quantitative analysis of Zooplankton

ZooplanktonGroup	Zooplankton Species	March	April	May
Rotifera	<i>Branchionus tridentatus</i>	15	16	18
	<i>B.quadridentatus</i>	20	20	20
	<i>Filinia sp.</i>	22	23	23
	<i>Monostyla sp.</i>	13	14	16
	<i>Asplanchna sp.</i>	11	12	14
	<i>Tetramastix sp.</i>	6	8	6
	<i>Platias sp.</i>	5	6	6
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	5	8	10
	<i>Colurella sp.</i>	10	14	16
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	15	20	25
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia</i>	15	16	17
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	14	20	22
	<i>Nauplius sp.</i>	24	24	26
	<i>Cyclops sp.</i>	14	15	16
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	11	12	12
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	10	10	11
Total		210	238	258
Standard Deviation		5.40	5.27	5.93
Mean		13.12	14.87	16.12

All values are in units/litre.

Table 4:Qualitative and quantitative analysis of Zooplankton

ZooplanktonGroup	Zooplankton Species	June	July	August
Rotifera	<i>Branchionus tridentatus</i>	12	10	8
	<i>B.quadridentatus</i>	10	8	7
	<i>Filinia sp.</i>	6	5	3
	<i>Monostyla sp.</i>	12	11	11
	<i>Asplanchna sp.</i>	8	8	6
	<i>Tetramastix sp.</i>	5	4	1
	<i>Platias sp.</i>	4	4	3
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	6	4	2
	<i>Colurella sp.</i>	10	6	5
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	20	11	10
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia</i>	15	10	10
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	15	8	8
	<i>Nauplius sp.</i>	8	5	3
	<i>Cyclops sp.</i>	12	9	8
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	8	5	2
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	9	5	4
Total		160	113	91
Standard Deviation		4.09	2.51	2.99
Mean		10.0	7.06	5.68

All values are in units/litre.

February and minimum in the month of December. Rotifers were the dominant class (61.42%), followed by Copepoda (18.24%), Protozoa (9.19%), Ostracoda (6.26%) and Cladoceran (4.87%). Dominance of Rotifer population signifies eutrophication. Similar results have been found by P Kumar *et al.* (2011) in Railway Pond, Sasaram, Bihar.

Site 2: G₂ SUMMER 2013

The results for zooplankton density in this season ranged from 265U/L to 298U/L, being maximum in the month of May and minimum in the month of March. The percentage composition of zooplanktons were found to be as Rotifers (63.92%), Copepoda (17.85%), Protozoa (8.09%), Ostracoda (5.83%) and Cladoceran (4.28%). The results are presented in Table 7.

Site 2: G₂ MONSOON 2013

The results for zooplanktons density is presented in Table 8. In this season the zooplankton density was found maximum (170U/L) in the month of June and minimum (158U/L) in the months of July and August. The % composition was as follows- Rotifera (63.16%), Copepoda (16.46%), Protozoa (9.25%), Cladoceran and Ostracoda both at (5.55%).

Site 3: G₃ POST MONSOON 2012

Qualitative and quantitative analysis of zooplanktons at this site in post monsoon is presented in Table 9. Altogether 14 genera were present at this site which comprised of Rotifera (7 sp.), Copepoda (3sp), Cladoceran (2sp.), Ostracoda and Protozoa represented by single species. Percentage wise composition was as follows Rotifers (61.6%), Copepoda (17.39%), Protozoa (8.88%), Cladoceran (8.31%) and Ostracoda (3.78%). The density of zooplankton ranged from

Table 5: Qualitative and quantitative result of zooplankton analysis

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	September	October	November
Rotifera	<i>B. angularis</i>	5	6	7
	<i>B. calcyflorous</i>	4	5	6
	<i>B. mulleri</i>	1	1	2
	<i>Keratella Cochlearis</i>	22	24	25
	<i>Keratella tropica</i>	24	28	30
	<i>Filinia Brauchiata</i>	11	10	11
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	11	11	11
	<i>Epiphanes Macrorus</i>	25	26	28
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	18	18	19
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia</i>	11	10	10
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	3	4	5
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	14	15	16
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	18	18	17
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	12	14	12
Total		179	190	199
Standard Deviation		7.55	8.13	8.53
Mean		12.78	13.57	14.21

All values are in units/litre.

Table 6: Qualitative and quantitative analysis of Zooplankton

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	December	January	February
Rotifera	<i>B. angularis</i>	7	7	9
	<i>B. calcyflorous</i>	5	8	9
	<i>B. mulleri</i>	6	5	6
	<i>Keratella Cochlearis</i>	30	38	44
	<i>Keratella tropica</i>	30	33	35
	<i>Filinia Brauchiata</i>	12	13	14
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	15	15	17
	<i>Epiphanes Macrorus</i>	25	28	30
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	20	22	24
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia</i>	11	12	12
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	6	6	6
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	16	17	18
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	20	21	21
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	14	15	16
Total		217	240	261
Standard Deviation		8.23	9.83	10.87
Mean		15.5	17.14	18.64

All values are in units/litre.

157U/L to 198U/L being minimum in the month of September and maximum in the month of November.

Site 3: G₃ WINTER 2012-2013

Table 10 represents the zooplankton diversity in winter season which ranged from 229U/L to 259U/L. The percentage composition is as follows-rotifera (56.52%), copepoda (22.69%), cladoceran (8.96%), protozoa (8.15%) and ostracoda (3.66%). Maximum density was found in the month of February and minimum in the month of December.

Site 3: G₃ SUMMER 2013

Table 11 represents the results of zooplanktonic diversity in summer months which had maximum density in the month of May (327U/L) and minimum in March (272U/L). Rotifers were the dominant class (58.15%) followed by copepod (18.86%), cladoceran (10.98%), protozoa (9.21%) and ostracoda (4.32%). According to Pathani and Upadhyay (2006) there is an increase of zooplankton population from winter season which reaches maximum in summer.

Site 3: G₃ MONSOON 2013

Monsoon months showed a decline in the zooplankton population, being in the range of 157U/L in August to 201U/L in the month of June. Percentage composition was as follows-rotifera (62.19%), copepoda (18.14%), protozoa (8.69%), cladoceran (7.93%) and ostracoda (3.02%).

Site 4: G₄ POST MONSOON 2012

The results obtained at this site shows marked decline in the diversity of zooplanktons. Rotifers were represented by only five sp. whereas ostracoda was absent altogether. The zooplankton density ranged from 119U/L to 156U/L being maximum in the month of October and minimum in the month of September. Percentage composition showed rotifers (43.96%), copepoda (28.74%), protozoa (18.35%) and cladocera (8.93%).

Site 4: G₄ WINTER 2012-13

Winter season also showed similar results like post monsoon. The zooplankton density ranged from 116U/L to 174U/L being maximum in the month of February and minimum in the month of December. Percent composition was rotifers

Table 7: Zooplankton density during May and March

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	March	April	May
Rotifera	<i>B.angularis</i>	10	11	12
	<i>B.calcyflorous</i>	7	10	12
	<i>B.mulleri</i>	7	8	9
	<i>Keratella Cochlearis</i>	45	46	50
	<i>Keratella tropica</i>	35	38	40
	<i>Filinia Brauchiata</i>	14	15	16
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	18	18	20
	<i>Epiphanes Macrorus</i>	30	32	34
Protozoa	<i>Paramecium</i>	24	22	22
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia</i>	12	12	12
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	8	9	10
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	18	18	20
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	21	22	24
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	16	16	17
Total		265	277	298
Standard Deviation		10.87	11.05	11.74
Mean		18.93	19.78	21.28

All values are in units/litre.

Table 8: Zooplankton density

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	June	July	August
Rotifera	<i>B.angularis</i>	10	6	4
	<i>B.calcyflorous</i>	6	5	2
	<i>B.mulleri</i>	1	0	1
	<i>Keratella Cochlearis</i>	20	20	22
	<i>Keratella tropica</i>	24	26	28
	<i>Filinia Brauchiata</i>	10	8	8
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	12	9	8
	<i>Epiphanes Macrorus</i>	28	24	25
Protozoa	<i>Paramecium</i>	15	14	16
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia</i>	10	8	9
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	4	2	2
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	10	12	8
	<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	12	15	15
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	8	9	10
Total		170	158	158
Standard Deviation		7.2	7.50	8.40
Mean		12.14	11.28	11.28

All values are in units/litre.

Table 9: Qualitative and quantitative analysis of Zooplanktons

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	September	October	November
Rotifera	<i>B.mulleri</i>	17	18	19
	<i>B.plicatilis</i>	16	18	25
	<i>Keratella cochlearis</i>	15	19	21
	<i>Filinia terminalis</i>	3	4	2
	<i>Filinia longiseta</i>	8	10	11
	<i>Pompholyx sp.</i>	18	19	20
	<i>Polyarthra vulgaris</i>	18	21	24
Protozoa	<i>Paramecium</i>	15	14	18
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	4	5	6
	<i>Moina sp.</i>	8	10	11
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	8	8	10
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	15	17	17
	<i>Nauplius</i>	6	5	6
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	11	6	8
Total		157	174	198
Standard Deviation		5.32	5.97	7.02
Mean		11.21	12.42	14.14

All values are in units/litre.

Table 10: Zooplankton diversity in winter season

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	December	January	February
Rotifera	<i>B.mulleri</i>	20	21	22
	<i>B.plicatilis</i>	26	26	28
	<i>Keratella cochlearis</i>	30	32	28
	<i>Filinia terminalis</i>	0	1	0
	<i>Filinia longista</i>	10	11	11
	<i>Pompholyx sp.</i>	24	25	26
	<i>Polyarthra vulgaris</i>	25	25	25
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	18	20	22
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	8	10	12
	<i>Moina sp.</i>	10	12	14
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	10	12	14
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	28	30	33
	<i>Nauplius</i>	12	14	14
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	8	9	10
Total		229	248	259
Standard Deviation		8.90	8.80	8.59
Mean		16.35	17.71	18.5

All values are in units/litre.

Table 11: Zooplanktonic diversity in summer months

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	March	April	May
Rotifera	<i>B.mulleri</i>	26	32	36
	<i>B.plicatilis</i>	28	32	31
	<i>Keratella cochlearis</i>	32	33	33
	<i>Filinia terminalis</i>	6	6	7
	<i>Filinia longista</i>	12	14	16
	<i>Pompholyx sp.</i>	28	32	34
	<i>Polyarthra vulgaris</i>	25	29	32
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	25	32	26
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	12	16	18
	<i>Moina sp.</i>	15	18	20
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	14	16	18
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	28	28	30
	<i>Nauplius</i>	10	14	12
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	11	14	14
Total		272	316	327
Standard Deviation		8.37	9.01	9.09
Mean		19.42	22.57	23.35

All values are in units/litre.

Table 12: Zooplankton population

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	June	July	August
Rotifera	<i>B.mulleri</i>	25	20	18
	<i>B.plicatilis</i>	18	16	15
	<i>Keratella cochlearis</i>	24	24	20
	<i>Filinia terminalis</i>	4	5	6
	<i>Filinia longista</i>	6	6	8
	<i>Pompholyx sp.</i>	28	18	18
	<i>Polyarthra vulgaris</i>	20	16	14
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	16	16	14
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	6	5	3
	<i>Moina sp.</i>	12	8	8
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	10	11	12
	<i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	18	15	14
	<i>Nauplius</i>	6	6	4
Ostracoda	<i>Cypris sp.</i>	8	5	3
Total		201	171	157
Standard Deviation		7.72	6.18	5.62
Mean		14.35	12.21	11.21

All values are in units/litre.

Table 13: Diversity of Zooplanktons

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	September	October	November
Rotifera	<i>B.tridentatus</i>	10	11	12
	<i>B.quadridentatus.</i>	14	18	20
	<i>Asplanachna sp.</i>	15	18	11
	<i>Platyias sp</i>	8	6	6
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	8	11	14
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	25	31	20
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	10	14	13
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	8	14	11
	<i>Nauplius</i>	7	11	12
	<i>Cyclops</i>	6	8	10
	<i>Diaptomus</i>	8	14	10
Total		119	156	139
Standard Deviation		5.21	6.20	3.98
Mean		10.81	14.18	12.63

All values are in units/litre.

Table 14: Zooplankton density

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	December	January	February
Rotifera	<i>B.tridentatus</i>	14	16	14
	<i>B.quadridentatus.</i>	12	15	18
	<i>Asplanachna sp.</i>	8	12	14
	<i>Platyias sp</i>	8	10	10
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	10	11	12
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	22	22	28
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	12	18	21
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	8	12	16
	<i>Nauplius</i>	6	14	10
	<i>Cyclops</i>	6	11	15
	<i>Diaptomus</i>	10	14	16
Total		116	155	174
Standard Deviation		4.35	3.39	4.95
Mean		10.54	14.09	15.81

All values are in units/litre.

Table 15:Zooplankton density

ZooplanktonGroup	Zooplankton Species	March	April	May
Rotifera	<i>B.tridentatus</i>	10	12	14
	<i>B.quadridentatus.</i>	12	12	16
	<i>Asplanachna sp.</i>	11	12	14
	<i>Platyias sp</i>	8	6	10
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	11	12	15
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	18	20	21
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	16	12	13
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	12	10	10
	<i>Nauplius</i>	10	14	12
	<i>Cyclops</i>	11	12	15
	<i>Diaptomus</i>	8	10	14
Total		127	132	154
Standard Deviation		2.90	3.19	2.89
Mean		11.54	12	14

All values are in units/litre.

(41.34%), copepoda (31.01%), protozoa (16.17%) and cladocera (11.46%).

Site 4: G₄SUMMER 2013

The zooplankton density ranged from 127U/L to 154U/L, being maximum in the month of May and minimum in the month of March. The percentage of rotifers and copepods increased in summer while that of protozoa and cladocera

declined. The order of percentage in this season was rotifers (42.37%), copepoda (33.41%), protozoa (14.28%) and cladoceran (9.92%).

Site 4: G₄MONSOON 2013

During monsoon the zooplankton density was maximum in the month of June (148U/L) and minimum in the month of August (106U/L). The zooplankton density comprised of rotifer

Table 16: Zooplankton density during Monsoon

Zooplankton Group	Zooplankton Species	June	July	August
Rotifera	<i>B.tridentatus</i>	12	10	8
	<i>B.quadridentatus.</i>	16	12	10
	<i>Asplanachna sp.</i>	12	11	11
	<i>Platyias sp</i>	10	8	6
	<i>Hexarthra sp.</i>	14	10	12
Protozoa	<i>Paramoecium</i>	20	12	10
Cladoceran	<i>Daphnia sp.</i>	12	12	10
Copepoda	<i>Mesocyclops sp.</i>	8	6	6
	<i>Nauplius</i>	10	12	10
	<i>Cyclops</i>	18	14	11
	<i>Diaptomus</i>	16	13	12
Total		148	121	106
Standard Deviation		3.52	2.19	2.01
Mean		13.45	11	9.63

All values are in units/litre

(43.2%), copepoda (32.26%), protozoa (11.2%) and cladocera (9.06%).

All values are in units/litre

Since site G₂ and G₃ have maximum anthropogenic activities like disposal of solid waste ,bathing ,washing etc .,therefore maximum diversity of zooplanktons were reported there. Almost similar findings were noted in sewage pollution (Saha et al., 2000), in tropical and eutrophic reservoir (Calijuri et al., 2002), in river Suyal of Uttaranchal (Pathani and Mathur, 2006) and in hill stream Chandrabhaga of Garhwal Himalaya(Sharma et al., 2007).

REFERENCES

APHA 1998. Standard Methods for the .Examination of Water and Wastewater. Eds. Greenberg, A. E., Clescerial, L. S. and Eatan, A. D., 20th Edition, APHA, AWWA, WEF Publication, Washington DC.

Arnott, S. E., Magnuson and Yan, N. D. 1998. Crustacean zooplankton species richness: single and multiple-year estimates. *Canadian J. Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences.* **55**: 1573-1582.

Aoyagui, A. S. M. and Bonecker, C. C. 2004. "Rotifers in different environments of the upper Parana river flood plain (Brazil): richness, abundance and the relationship to connectivity." *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 522, no.1-3. July 2004. pp. 281-290.

Bhowmic, M. L. 1968. Environmental factors affecting fish food in freshwater fisheries, Kalyani (West Bengal). *Ph. D Thesis, Kalyani University*, p. 238.

Bonnet, D. and Frid, C. S. 2004. Copepod species considered as indicators of water mass influence and changes: results from a Northumberland coastal station. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **61**: 485-491.

Calijuri, M. C., Santos, D. O. S. and Jati, S. 2002. Temporal changes in the phytoplanktons community structure in a tropical and eutrophic reservoir.(Barra Bonita,S.P.Brazil). *J. Plankton Research.* **24**: 617-634.

Chaurasia, S. 1996. Seasonal fluctuation of zooplankton in Burha tank water, Ranipur India. *J. Evn. Prot.* **16**(2): 140-142.

Gannon, J. E. and Stemberger, R. S. 1978. Zooplankton especially crustaceans and rotifers as indicators of water quality. *Trans Am. Micros SOC* [97(1978) pp. 16-35.

Ayodele, H. A. and Adeniyi, I. F. 2006. "The zooplankton fauna of six impoundments on the river Osun, Southern Nigeria," *The Zoologist.* pp. 49-67.

Helsinki Commission Report 2003. HELCOM MONAS 6/2003. Document code: 6.4/3. 'Monitoring and Assessment Group Sixth Meeting Gdynia, Poland, 20- 20th October 2003'

Jose Reeja and Sanalkumar, M. G. 2012. Seasonal variations in the zooplankton Diversity of River Achencovil, *J. International J. Scientific and Research Publications, Issue II*, November 2012.

Joshi, S. Prasanna 2011. Studies on zooplanktons of Rajura lake of Buldhana district, Maharashtra, India, *Science Research Reporter.* **1**(3): 132-137, Nov. 2011.

Keister, J. E., Bonnet, D., Chiba, S., Johnson, C. L., Mackas, D. L. and Escribano, R. 2012. Zooplankton population connections, community dynamics, and climate variability. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **69**: 347-350.

Kumar, P., Wanganeo, A. and Sonallah, F. Seasonal Variations in zooplankton Diversity of Railway Pond, Sasaram, Bihar. *J>International J. Environmental Sciences, Vol. 2, N.*

Mallik, R. and Sinha, S. K. 2014. Temporal Phytoplankton Diversity in River Garga of Bokaro District (Jharkhand) During the Year 2012-2013., *J. The Ecoscan. Vol. V:* 187-194.

Manjappa, S., Suresh, B., Aravinda, H. B., Puttaiah, E. T. and Thirumala, S. 2008. Studies on Environmental Status of Tungabhadra River near Harihara, Karnataka (India) *J. Aqua-Biol.* **23**(2): 67-72.

Needham and Needham 1977. A guide to the study of freshwater biology Eds: James G. Needham and Paul R. Needham. *Holden-Day Inc.San Francisco, Constable & Co. Ltd., London.*

Pathani, S. S. and Mathar, S. 2006. A Study of population of planktons in river Suyal of Uttaranchal, India. *Flora and Fauna.* **11**(2): 250-256.

Pathani, S. S. and Upadhyay, K. K. 2006. An Inventory on Zooplankton, Zoobenthos and fish fauna in the river Ramganga (w) of Uttaranchal. *India ENVIS Bulletin. Himalaya Ecology.* **14**(2): (2006).

Pfiester, L. A., Robert Lynch and Terry L. Wright 1980. 'Species Composition and diversity of phytoplankton in the Grand River dam area, Oklahoma'. *Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci.* **60**: 63-68.

Puroshottama, R., Sanjeswara, H. A., Goudar, M. A. and Harish kumar, K. 2011. Physico-chemical profile and zooplankton community composition in Brahmana Kalasi Tank, Sagar, Karnataka, India. *Ecoscan.* **5**(3): 99-103.

Saha, S. B., Bhattacharya, S. B. and Choudhary, A. 2000. Diversity of phytoplanktons of a sewage pollution brackish water tidal ecosystem. *J. Env. Biol.* **21**(1): 9-14.

Saksena, D. N. and Sharma, S. P. 2011. zooplankton fauna of some lentic water of Gwalior. Govinda Sagar, Chhatri Tank, Sawarkar Sarovar and Matsya Sarovar, *Environment India* 13-17 (1981) **o-2**, 2011.

Sharma, A., Sharma, R. C. and Anthwal, A. 2007. Monitoring phytoplankton diversity in hill stream Chandrabhaga of Garhwal Himalaya, *Life Sciences J.* **4**: 80-84.

Shivashankar, P. and Venkataramana, G. V. 2013. Zooplankton diversity and their seasonal variations in Bhadra Reservoir, Karnataka, India; *IRJES.* **2(5)**: 87-91.

WoelKerling, W. J., Kowal, R. R. and Gough, S. B. 1976. "Sedgwick Rafter cell counts: A Procedural Analysis" *Hydrobiologia.* **48(2)**: 95-107.

Yakubu, A. F., Sikoki, F. D., Abowei, J. F. N. and Hart, S. A. 2000. A comparative study of phytoplankton communities of some river creeks and borrow pits in the Niger Delta Area. *J. Applied Sci. Env. and Management.* **4(2)**: 41-46.

